

Last times: for $X \in C^1$ and $\sigma \in C^2$, the solution Z of

$$(*) \quad \dot{Z}_t = \sigma(Z_t) \dot{X}_t$$

admits the following expansion, uniformly in $0 \leq s < t \leq T$:

$$(*)' \quad \begin{aligned} \delta Z_{st} &= \sigma(Z_s) \mathbb{X}_{st}^1 + o(t-s) & O((t-s)^2) \\ & \quad (\bar{Z}_t - Z_s) \\ \text{with} \quad \mathbb{X}_{st}^1 &:= \delta X_{st} = X_t - X_s \end{aligned}$$

$$(*)'' \quad \delta Z_{st} = \sigma(Z_s) \mathbb{X}_{st}^1 + \sigma_2(Z_s) \mathbb{X}_{st}^2 + o(t-s) \quad O((t-s)^3)$$

$$\text{with} \quad \sigma_2(z) := \nabla \sigma(z) \circ \sigma(z) \quad \mathbb{X}_{st}^2 := \int_s^t \delta X_{sv} \otimes \dot{X}_v \, dv$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^k) & \quad \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d \quad = \iint_{s < v < u < t} \dot{X}_v \otimes \dot{X}_u \, dv \, du \\ & \simeq \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^k)) \end{aligned}$$

Key property: CHEN'S RELATION for $s < v < t$

$$\delta \mathbb{X}_{sbt}^2 := \mathbb{X}_{st}^2 - \mathbb{X}_{sv}^2 - \mathbb{X}_{vt}^2 = \mathbb{X}_{sv}^1 \otimes \mathbb{X}_{vt}^1 = (X_v - X_s) \otimes (X_t - X_v)$$

Also analytic bounds:

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{X}_{st}^1| &\lesssim (t-s)^\alpha & |\mathbb{X}_{st}^2| &\lesssim (t-s)^{2\alpha} \\ &= O(t-s) \\ &\lesssim C \cdot (t-s) \end{aligned}$$

Definition (ROUGH PATH). Fix $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}]$. Given a path $X = (X_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ in \mathbb{R}^d of class C^α , we call α -ROUGH PATH OVER X a pair $(\mathbb{X}^1, \mathbb{X}^2)$ of functions

$$\mathbb{X}^1: [0, T]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$$

$$\mathbb{X}^2: [0, T]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$$

which satisfy the following properties:

(1) ALGEBRAIC RELATIONS: $\forall 0 \leq s < u < t \leq T$

$$\mathbb{X}_{st}^1 = \delta X_{st} = X_t - X_s \quad \underbrace{\mathbb{X}_{sut}^2 = \mathbb{X}_{su}^1 \otimes \mathbb{X}_{ut}^1}_{\text{CMEN'S RELATION}}$$

(2) ANALYTIC BOUNDS: uniformly in $0 \leq s < t \leq T$

$$|\mathbb{X}_{st}^1| \lesssim (t-s)^\alpha \quad |\mathbb{X}_{st}^2| \lesssim (t-s)^{2\alpha}$$

$$\|\mathbb{X}^1\|_\alpha < \infty \quad \|\mathbb{X}^2\|_{2\alpha} < \infty$$

Remark: For any path X of class C^α , $\frac{1}{3} < \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$, there always exists an α -rough path $(\mathbb{X}^1, \mathbb{X}^2)$ over X . This is a non-trivial result (Lyons-Victoir).

Lemma ((NON-)UNIQUENESS). Given two α -rough paths $(\mathbb{X}^1, \mathbb{X}^2)$ and $(\tilde{\mathbb{X}}^1, \tilde{\mathbb{X}}^2)$ over the same path $X \in C^\alpha$, $\frac{1}{3} < \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$, there is a path $f \in C^{2\alpha}$ such that $\mathbb{X}^2 - \tilde{\mathbb{X}}^2 = \delta f$.

Vice versa, if (X^1, X^2) is an α -rough path over X , then $(\tilde{X}^1 := X^1, \tilde{X}^2 := X^2 + \delta f)$ with $f \in C^{2\alpha}$ is also an α -rough path over X .

Proof. If (X^1, X^2) and $(\tilde{X}^1, \tilde{X}^2)$ are α -rough paths over the same path X , then $\tilde{X}^1 = \tilde{X}^1 = \delta X$ and

$$\delta X^2 = \delta \tilde{X}^2 \quad \text{by Chen's relation}$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta (X^2 - \tilde{X}^2) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow X^2 - \tilde{X}^2 = \delta f \quad \text{for some } f: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$$

Finally, the analytic bounds in the def. of RP give

$$\|\delta f\|_{2\alpha} = \|X^2 - \tilde{X}^2\|_{2\alpha} \leq \|X^2\|_{2\alpha} + \|\tilde{X}^2\|_{2\alpha} < \infty$$

that is $f \in C^{2\alpha}$.

■

Next time we will prove that, if $X = B$ is Brownian motion in \mathbb{R}^d , we obtain a rough path over B (a.s.) by

$$(B^{(1\top)})^2 = \delta B \quad (B^{(1\top)})_{st}^2 := \int_s^t (B_u - B_s) \otimes dB_u \quad (1\top)$$

Another (different!) RP over B is

$$(B^{\text{STRAT}})^2 = \delta B \quad (B^{\text{STRAT}})_{st}^2 := \int_s^t (B_u - B_s) \otimes \circ dB_u \quad (\text{strat.})$$

$$= (B^{(1\top)})_{st}^2 + \frac{1}{2}(t-s)I$$

Remark (ROUGH PATHS AS "PATHS") If $(\mathbb{X}^1, \mathbb{X}^2)$ is a RP over X , then \mathbb{X}_{st}^2 is determined by X and by the one-variable function $t \mapsto \mathbb{X}_{0t}^2$. Indeed let us set

$$\mathbb{I}_t := \mathbb{X}_{0t}^2 + X_0 \otimes (X_t - X_0)$$

$$= " \int_0^t X_u \otimes \dot{X}_u du "$$

then $\mathbb{X}_{st}^2 = \mathbb{X}_{0s}^2 - \mathbb{X}_{0s}^2 - \underbrace{\int_0^s \mathbb{X}_{0u}^2 du}_{\mathbb{X}_{0s}^1 \otimes \mathbb{X}_{st}^1 = (X_s - X_0) \otimes (X_t - X_s)}$, hence \mathbb{X}_{st}^2 is determined by \mathbb{I}_t and X

Vice versa, a function \mathbb{I}_t determines a rough path

if $|\mathbb{I}_t - \mathbb{I}_s - X_s \otimes (X_t - X_s)| \lesssim (t-s)^{2\alpha}$

$$\underbrace{\int_s^t X_u \otimes \dot{X}_u du}_{\text{def } \mathbb{X}_{st}^2}$$

Henceforth we fix dimensions $d, K \in \mathbb{N}$, exp. $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}]$, and

- $X : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ of class C^α
- $(\mathbb{X}^1, \mathbb{X}^2)$ on α -RR over X
- $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^\alpha)$ of class C^1 .

• Define $\mathcal{G}_2: \mathbb{R}^K \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^K)$ by

$$\mathcal{G}_2(z) := \nabla \sigma(z) \circ \sigma(z)$$

we then consider the finite difference equation for an unknown path $\bar{z}: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^K$ given by

$$(*)' \quad \delta \bar{z}_{st} = \sigma(\bar{z}_s) \bar{x}_{st}^1 + \mathcal{G}_2(\bar{z}_s) \bar{x}_{st}^2 + o(t-s) \quad (\text{unif. for } 0 \leq s < t \leq T)$$

Given any path \bar{z} , we define

$$\bar{z}_{st}^{[3]} := \delta \bar{z}_{st} - \sigma(\bar{z}_s) \bar{x}_{st}^1 - \mathcal{G}_2(\bar{z}_s) \bar{x}_{st}^2$$

$$\bar{z}_{st}^{[2]} := \delta \bar{z}_{st} - \sigma(\bar{z}_s) \bar{x}_{st}^1$$

$$\bar{z}_{st}^{[1]} := \delta \bar{z}_{st}$$

Note that \bar{z} solves $(*)'$ iff $\bar{z}_{st}^{[3]} = o(t-s)$.

A priori estimates

Assuming $\sigma \in C^1$,

Lemma. Any solution \bar{z} of $(*)'$ is of class C^α (like X).

Proof. By $(*)'$ we have

$$|\delta \bar{z}_{st}| \leq \|\sigma(\bar{z})\|_\infty \cdot \underbrace{|\bar{x}_{st}^1|}_{\lesssim (t-s)^\alpha} + \|\mathcal{G}_2(\bar{z})\|_\infty \cdot \underbrace{|\bar{x}_{st}^2|}_{\lesssim (t-s)^{\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha}}} + o(t-s) \lesssim (t-s)^\alpha \lesssim (t-s)^\alpha \lesssim (t-s)^\alpha$$

This shows that $|\delta Z_{st}| \lesssim (t-s)^\alpha$, i.e. $Z \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha$, provided $\|\sigma(Z)\|_\infty < \infty$, $\|\sigma_2(Z)\|_\infty < \infty$. This follows if we show that $\|Z\|_\infty < \infty$. $\underline{Z}_{st}^{[3]}$

By H_p $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta_\varepsilon > 0$: $\overbrace{|\delta Z_{st}|} \leq \varepsilon (t-s) \leq \varepsilon T$
 $\forall 0 \leq s < t \leq T$ with $|t-s| \leq \delta_\varepsilon$.

Fix $\varepsilon = 1$ and partition $[0, T]$ into intervals $[a, b]$ with $b-a < \delta_1$. It is enough to show that

$$\sup_{t \in [a, b]} |Z_t| \leq |Z_a| + \sup_{t \in [a, b]} |\delta Z_{at}|$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } |\delta Z_{at}| &= \left| Z_{at}^{[3]} - \sigma(Z_a) \mathbb{X}_{at}^1 - \sigma_2(Z_a) \mathbb{X}_{at}^2 \right| \\ &\leq 1 \cdot T + |\sigma(Z_a)| \cdot \|\mathbb{X}_a^1\|_\infty + |\sigma_2(Z_a)| \cdot \|\mathbb{X}_a^2\|_\infty \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem (ROUGH A priori ESTIMATES) Let $X \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha$ with $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}]$. Let $\mathbb{X} = (\mathbb{X}^1, \mathbb{X}^2)$ be an α -RP over X . Let σ and σ_2 be globally Lipschitz: $\|\nabla \sigma\|_\infty < \infty$, $\|\nabla \sigma_2\|_\infty < \infty$.

Any solution Z of $(*)'$ satisfies

$$(i) \|Z^{[3]}\|_{3\alpha} \leq K_{3\alpha} \cdot C_{\alpha, \varepsilon, \mathbb{X}}^1 \cdot (\|\delta Z\|_\alpha + \|Z^{[2]}\|_{2\alpha})$$

with $K_{3\alpha} = (1 - 2^{1-3\alpha})^{-1}$ and

$$C_{\alpha, \sigma, X} := \|\nabla \sigma\|_\infty \|X'\|_\alpha + \|\nabla \sigma_2\|_\infty \|X^2\|_{2\alpha} + (\|\nabla \sigma\|_\infty^2 + \|\nabla \sigma_2\|_\infty) \|X'\|_\alpha^2$$

Moreover, for $T > 0$ small enough ($T^\alpha < \varepsilon_{\alpha, \sigma, X}^1$),

$$(ii) \|\delta Z\|_\alpha + \|Z^{[2]}\|_{2\alpha} \leq 2 (\sigma(z_0) \|X'\|_\alpha + \sigma_2(z_0) \|X^2\|_{2\alpha})$$

$$\text{with } \varepsilon_{\alpha, \sigma, X}^1 = \frac{1}{4(K_{3\alpha} + 3)(C_{\alpha, \sigma, X}^1 + 1)}$$

Proof. By def. Z is a solution of $\textcircled{*}$ iff $Z_{st}^{[3]} = o(t-s)$.

We can apply the SEWING BOUND: $\|Z^{[3]}\|_{3\alpha} \leq K_{3\alpha} \cdot \|\delta Z^{[3]}\|_{3\alpha}$

$$\text{Recall: } Z_{st}^{[3]} = \delta Z_{st} - \underbrace{\sigma(z_s) X_{st}^1 - \sigma_2(z_s) X_{st}^2}_{\delta X_{st}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Lemma. } \delta Z_{st}^{[3]} &= \underbrace{(\sigma(z_0) - \sigma(z_s) - \sigma_2(z_s) X_{s0}^1)}_{B_{s0}} X_{0t}^1 \\ &\quad + \underbrace{(\sigma_2(z_0) - \sigma_2(z_s)) X_{0t}^2}_{\delta \sigma_2(z)_{s0}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \|\delta Z^{[3]}\|_{3\alpha} &\leq \|B\|_{2\alpha} \|X'\|_\alpha + \underbrace{\|\delta \sigma_2(z)\|_\alpha \|X^2\|_{2\alpha}}_{\leq \|\nabla \sigma_2\|_\infty \cdot \|\delta Z\|_\alpha} \\ &\leq \|\nabla \sigma_2\|_\infty \cdot \|\delta Z\|_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

Lemma: if $\sigma \in C^1$, then $\forall z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^K$:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(z_2) - \sigma(z_1) - \sigma'_2(z_1) \times &= \int_0^1 [\sigma_2(z_1 + \tau \delta z_{12}) - \sigma_2(z_1)] \times d\tau \\ &+ \int_0^1 [\nabla \sigma(z_1 + \tau \delta z_{12}) (\delta z_{12} - \sigma(z_1) \times)] d\tau \\ &- \int_0^1 \nabla \sigma(z_1 + \tau \delta z_{12}) \left(\int_0^2 [\nabla \sigma(z_1 + \sqrt{v} \delta z_{12}) \delta z_{12} \times] dv \right) d\tau \end{aligned}$$

Then $\|\beta\|_{2\alpha} \leq (\|\nabla \sigma_2\|_\infty + \|\nabla \sigma\|_\infty^2) \|\mathbb{X}'\|_\alpha \|\delta z\|_\alpha$
 $+ \|\nabla \sigma\|_\infty \|\mathbb{Z}^{[2]}\|_{2\alpha}$

These estimates lead directly to (i) -

Let us now prove (ii) - Recall

$$\mathbb{Z}_{st}^{[2]} = \delta z_{st} - \sigma(z_s) \mathbb{X}_{st}^1$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Z}_{st}^{[3]} &= \delta z_{st} - \sigma(z_s) \mathbb{X}_{st}^1 - \sigma'_2(z_s) \mathbb{X}_{st}^2 \\ &= \mathbb{Z}_{st}^{[2]} - \sigma'_2(z_s) \mathbb{X}_{st}^2 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{Z}^{[2]}\|_{2\alpha} &= \|\sigma_2(z) \mathbb{X}^2 + \mathbb{Z}^{[3]}\|_{2\alpha} \\ &\leq \underbrace{\|\sigma_2(z)\|_\infty \|\mathbb{X}^2\|_{2\alpha}}_{\leq |\sigma_2(z_0)| + T^\alpha \underbrace{\|\delta \sigma_2(z)\|_\alpha}_{\leq \|\nabla \sigma_2\|_\infty \|\delta z\|_\alpha}} + \underbrace{\|\mathbb{Z}^{[3]}\|_{2\alpha}}_{\leq T^\alpha \|\mathbb{Z}^{[3]}\|_{3\alpha}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\|Z^{[2]}\|_{2\alpha} \leq |\sigma_2(z_0)| \|X^2\|_{2\alpha}$$

$$+ T^\alpha \left\{ \|\nabla \sigma_2\|_\infty \|X^2\|_{2\alpha} \|\delta Z\|_\alpha + \|Z^{[3]}\|_{3\alpha} \right\}$$

$$\text{Now } \delta Z_{st} = \sigma(Z_s) X_{st}^1 + Z_{st}^{[2]}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \|\delta Z\|_\alpha &\leq \underbrace{\|\sigma(z)\|_\infty \|X'\|_\alpha}_{\leq |\sigma(z_0)| + T^\alpha \underbrace{\|\delta \sigma(z)\|_\alpha}_{\leq \|\nabla \sigma\|_\infty \|\delta Z\|_\alpha}} + \underbrace{\|Z^{[2]}\|_2}_{\leq T^\alpha \|Z^{[2]}\|_{2\alpha}} \\ &\leq \|\delta Z\|_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

$$\|\delta Z\|_\alpha \leq |\sigma(z_0)| \|X^1\|_\alpha$$

$$+ T^\alpha \left\{ \|\nabla \sigma\|_\infty \|X'\|_\alpha \|\delta Z\|_\alpha + \|Z^{[2]}\|_{2\alpha} \right\}$$

We obtained

$$\begin{aligned} \|\delta Z\|_\alpha + \|Z^{[2]}\|_{2\alpha} &\leq |\sigma(z_0)| \|X'\|_\alpha + |\sigma_2(z_0)| \|X^2\|_{2\alpha} \\ &\quad + \tilde{C}_{\alpha, \sigma, X} \cdot T^\alpha \left\{ \|\delta Z\|_\alpha + \|Z^{[2]}\|_{2\alpha} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

UNIQUENESS

Theorem: Let $X \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha$ with $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}]$. Let $\mathcal{X} = (X^1, X^2)$ be an α -RP over X . Let σ be of class \mathcal{C}^γ with $\gamma > \frac{1}{2}$ (e.g. $\sigma \in C^3$). Then $\forall z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^K$ there is at most one solution z of $\textcircled{*}''$.

Proof: Let z, z' be two solutions and set

$$Y := z - z'$$

Since $\|Y\|_\infty \leq |Y_0| + T^\alpha \|\delta Y\|_\alpha$ it is enough to show that, for $T > 0$ small, $\|\delta Y\|_\alpha \leq |Y_0|$.

Define $Y^{[2]} := z^{[2]} - z'^{[2]}$ $Y^{[3]} := z^{[3]} - z'^{[3]}$

Strategy: prove that

$$\|\delta Y\|_\alpha \leq c_1 \|Y\|_\infty + c_1' T^\alpha \|Y^{[2]}\|_{2\alpha}$$

$$\|Y^{[2]}\|_{2\alpha} \leq c_2 \|Y\|_\infty + c_2' T^\alpha \|Y^{[3]}\|_{3\alpha}$$

$$\|Y^{[3]}\|_{3\alpha} \leq c_3 \|Y\|_\infty + c_3' T^\alpha \|Y^{[3]}\|_{3\alpha}$$

with constants c_i, c_i' which are INDEPENDENT of T .

From the last equation, for $T > 0$ small enough, we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \|Y^{[3]}\|_{3\alpha} \leq C_3 \|Y\|_\infty$$

Then from the second eq., for $T > 0$ small enough,

$$\|Y^{[2]}\|_{2\alpha} \leq 2C_2 \|Y\|_\infty$$

which plugged into the first equation gives

$$\|\delta Y\|_\alpha \leq 2C_1 \|Y\|_\infty \quad \text{for } T > 0 \text{ small enough}$$

Finally: $\|Y\|_\infty \leq |Y_0| + T^\alpha \|\delta Y\|_\alpha$

$$\leq |Y_0| + T^\alpha \underbrace{2C_1 \|Y\|_\infty}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \text{ for } T > 0 \text{ small enough}$$

$$\Rightarrow \|Y\|_\infty \leq 2|Y_0| -$$

Res