

REMINDERS

$$\mathbb{D} = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{i}{2^k} : 0 \leq i \leq 2^k \right\} \quad \text{dyadic rationals in } [0, 1]$$

"CONSECUTIVE" $d \rightarrow d' \Leftrightarrow d = \frac{i}{2^k}, d' = \frac{i+1}{2^k}$ for some i, k

GOAL: $|A_{st}| \leq C (t-s)^{\delta} \quad \forall t, s \in \mathbb{D}$

THEOREM [Kol 1] (KOLMOGOROV CRITERION, DETERMINISTIC PART)

Assume that $A : \mathbb{D}_{\leq}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies, for some $0 < p < \delta$,

$$\bullet \quad Q_{\delta}(A) := \sup_{d, d' \in \mathbb{D} : d \rightarrow d'} \frac{|A_{d, d'}|}{|d' - d|^{\delta}} < \infty$$

$$\Leftrightarrow |A_{d, d'}| \leq Q_{\delta} (d' - d)^{\delta} \quad \forall d \rightarrow d'$$

$$\bullet \quad K_{p, \delta}(A) := \sup_{s < u < t \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{|\delta A_{sot}|}{\min\{u-s, t-u\}^p |t-s|^{\delta-p}} < \infty$$

$$|\delta A_{sot}| \leq K_{p, \delta} \cdot \min\{u-s, t-u\}^p (t-s)^{\delta-p} \leq K_{p, \delta} \cdot (t-s)^{\delta}$$

Then there is a universal explicit $C_{p, \delta} < \infty$ such that

$$|A_{st}| \leq C_{p, \delta} (Q_{\delta} + K_{p, \delta}) (t-s)^{\delta} \quad \forall s < t \in \mathbb{D}.$$

We next bound $Q_\gamma(A)$ for a RANDOM A .

THEOREM [Kol2] (KOLMOGOROV CRITERION, RANDOM PART)

Let $A: \mathbb{D}_\infty^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be random and satisfy

$$\mathbb{E}[|A_{st}|^p] \leq c (t-s)^{\underbrace{p \cdot \gamma_0}_{>1}} \quad \forall s < t \in \mathbb{D}$$

for some $p, \gamma_0, c \in (0, \infty)$ - Then

$$\mathbb{E}[|Q_\gamma(A)|^p] < \infty \quad \forall 0 < \gamma < \gamma_0 - \frac{1}{p},$$

$$\sup_{d \rightarrow d'} \frac{|Add'|}{(d'-d)^\gamma}$$

hence $Q_\gamma(A) < \infty$ a.s. - Hence, if also $K_{p,\gamma}(A) < \infty$,

$$\text{a.s. } |A_{st}| \lesssim (t-s)^\gamma \quad \forall s < t \in \mathbb{D}.$$

We then prove:

Theorem ③ For $h = (h_u)_{u \in [0,T]}$ a continuous, adapted process, consider the Itô integral (continuous version of)

$$I_t = I_0 + \int_0^t h_u dB_u \quad h_u \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$$

Fix any $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2})$

(a) a.s. $I \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha$, that is for $0 \leq s < t \leq T$

$$|\delta I_{st}| \lesssim (t-s)^\alpha \xrightarrow{C < \infty \text{ a.s.}} \leq C(\omega) (t-s)^\alpha$$

Note now that $\delta I_{st} = \int_s^t h_u dB_u$

$$\Rightarrow \delta I_{st} - \underbrace{h_s(B_t - B_s)}_{B_{st}^1} = \int_s^t \underbrace{(h_u - h_s)}_{\delta h_{su}} dB_u$$

(b) If a.s. $h \in \mathcal{C}^\beta$, i.e. $|\delta h_{su}| \lesssim (u-s)^\beta$, for some $\beta \in (0, 1]$, then a.s.

$$|\delta I_{st} - h_s B_{st}^1| \lesssim (t-s)^{\alpha+\beta}$$

(c) If a.s. $|\delta h_{su} - h_s^1 B_{su}^1| \lesssim (u-s)^{\alpha+\eta}$, for some $\eta \in (0, 1]$ and for some adapted $h^1 = (h_u^1)_{u \in [0, T]} \in \mathcal{C}^\eta$, then a.s.

$$|\delta I_{st} - h_s B_{st}^1 - h_s^1 B_{st}^2| \lesssim (t-s)^{2\alpha+\eta}$$

Last time we proved part (a). We now focus on part (b).

Proof of (b). Recall $I_t = \int_0^t h_u dB_u$

$$\text{Set } R_{st} := \delta I_{st} - h_s \underbrace{B'_{st}}_{(B_t - B_s)} = \int_s^t (h_u - h_s) dB_u$$

Our goal is $|R_{st}| \lesssim (t-s)^{\alpha+\beta}$ a.s.

assuming $|h_u - h_s| \lesssim (s-u)^\beta$ i.e. $\|\delta h\|_\beta < \infty$ a.s.

We set $\gamma = \alpha + \beta$ and $\rho := \alpha \wedge \beta$

By Kol 1 it is enough to show that

$$Q_\gamma(R) < \infty \quad \& \quad K_{\rho, \gamma}(R) < \infty \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Let us focus first on $K_{\rho, \gamma}(R)$. We note that

$$\delta R_{sut} = \delta(\delta I - h \delta B)_{sut} = \delta h_{su} \cdot \delta B_{ut}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow K_{\rho, \gamma}(R) &= \sup_{s < u < t} \frac{|\delta R_{sut}|}{\{(u-s) \wedge (t-u)\}^\rho (t-s)^{\gamma-\rho}} \\ &= \sup_{s < u < t} \frac{|\delta h_{su}| \cdot |\delta B_{ut}|}{(u-s)^\beta (t-u)^\alpha} \left\{ \frac{(u-s)^\beta (t-u)^\alpha}{\{(u-s) \wedge (t-u)\}^\rho (t-s)^{\gamma-\rho}} \right\} \\ &\leq \|\delta h\|_\beta \cdot \|\delta B\|_\alpha < \infty \quad \text{a.s.} \quad \underbrace{\leq 1}_{\text{(CLAIM)}} \end{aligned}$$

To prove the claim, set $a := \frac{v-s}{t-s}$, $b := \frac{t-v}{t-s}$

then $a > 0$, $b > 0$, $a+b=1$ and we can write

$$\frac{(v-s)^\beta (t-v)^\alpha}{\{(v-s) \wedge (t-v)\}^\beta (t-s)^{\alpha-\beta}} = \frac{a^\beta b^\alpha}{(a \wedge b)^\beta (a+b)^{\alpha-\beta}} = \frac{a^\beta b^\alpha}{(a \wedge b)^{\alpha+1/\beta}}$$

$$(\text{SAY } a < b) \quad = a^{\beta-\alpha+1/\beta} \cdot b^\alpha \leq 1$$

$$(\text{SAY } a > b) \quad = a^\beta b^{\alpha-\alpha+1/\beta} \leq 1$$

It remains to show that $Q_\gamma(R) < \infty$ a.s. -

By (Kol 2) It is enough to bound

$$\mathbb{E}[|R_{st}|^p] \leq c (t-s)^{\gamma_0 p}$$

$$\Rightarrow Q_\gamma(R) < \infty \text{ a.s.}$$

$$\forall \gamma < \gamma_0 - \frac{1}{p}$$

$$\text{Recall: } R_{st} = \int_s^t (h_u - h_s) dB_u$$

By BDG:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[|R_{st}|^p] &\leq c_p \mathbb{E}[|R_{st}|^2]^{p/2} \\ &= c_p \left\{ \int_s^t \mathbb{E}[(h_u - h_s)^2] du \right\}^{p/2} \\ &\leq c_p \mathbb{E}[\|sh\|_\beta^2]^{p/2} \underbrace{\left\{ \int_s^t (u-s)^{2\beta} du \right\}^{p/2}}_{\leq (t-s)^{p(\beta+\frac{1}{2})}} \end{aligned}$$

Thus we proved the bound with any $p > 0$ and $\gamma_0 = \beta + \frac{1}{2}$

$$\Rightarrow \gamma < \gamma_0 - \frac{1}{p} = \beta + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}$$

OK since $\gamma = \beta + \alpha$ and for $p \gg 1$ we have $\alpha < \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}$
since $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2})$.

The proof works under the assumption that

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\delta h\|_\beta^2] < \infty$$

which is stronger than our original assumption

$$\|\delta h\|_\beta < \infty \quad \text{a.s.}$$

However we can repeat the proof for the process

$$h_u^{(n)} := h_{0 \wedge \tau_n}$$

$$\text{where } \tau_n := \inf\{t : \|\delta h\|_\beta, [0, t] > n\}$$

By construction $\|\delta h^{(n)}\|_\beta \leq n$ so the proof applies.

Finally letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ yields the final statement.

SDEs / RDEs WITH A DRIFT

Consider the SDE with a drift

$$dY_t = b(Y_t)dt + \sigma(Y_t)dB_t \quad (\text{SDE}+)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow Y_t = Y_0 + \int_0^t b(Y_u)du + \int_0^t \sigma(Y_u)dB_u \quad \text{a.s.}$$

where $b: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ and $\sigma: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^k)$

Theorem: If $\sigma \in C^2$ and $b \in C^0$, then a.s. any solution $Y = (Y_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ of (SDE+) is also a solution of

$$\delta Y_{st} = b(Y_s)(t-s) + \sigma_1(Y_s)B_{st}^1 + \sigma_2(Y_s)B_{st}^2 + o(t-s) \quad (\text{RDE}+)$$
$$0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$$

If furthermore $\sigma, b \in C^3$ and σ, σ_2, b are globally Lipschitz, then a.s. both (SDE+) and (RDE+) have a unique solution, for any given $Y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^k$, and they coincide.

Proof (SKETCH) - We enrich the driving path $B = (B_{\cdot, T}^1, B_{\cdot, T}^d)$ with a further "time component": $\tilde{B}: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$

$$\tilde{B}_t := (B_t, t) = (B_t^1, \dots, B_t^d, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$$

We correspondingly extend the Itô rough path B :

$$\tilde{B}_{st}^1 := \delta \tilde{B}_{s,t} = (\delta B_{st}, t-s)$$

$$\tilde{B}_{st}^2 := \left(\begin{array}{c|c} B_{st}^2 = \int_s^t \delta B_{su} \otimes dB_u & \int_s^t \delta B_{su} du \\ \hline \int_s^t (u-s) dB_u & \int_s^t (u-s) du = \frac{(t-s)^2}{2} \end{array} \right)$$

We can show that $\tilde{B} \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha \quad \forall \alpha \in (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2})$

and that \tilde{B} is an α -rough path over \tilde{B} , a.s. -

We then rewrite (RDE+) as the "usual" (RDE) w.r.t. \tilde{B} :

$$\delta Y_{st} = \tilde{\sigma}(Y_s) \tilde{B}_{st}^1 + \tilde{\sigma}_2(Y_s) \tilde{B}_{st}^2 + o(t-s)$$

where $\tilde{\sigma}(y)(x, t) := \sigma(y)x + b(y)t$

so $\tilde{\sigma} : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1}, \mathbb{R}^k)$ -

Ito vs. STRATONOVICH

Let $X = (X_t)_{t \in [0, T]} \in E \simeq \mathbb{R}^d$ be an adapted process and a semi-martingale, say a Ito process:

$$dX_t = \varphi_t dB_t + \gamma_t dt$$

$$\Leftrightarrow X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t \varphi_u dB_u + \int_0^t \gamma_u du$$

for some $\varphi = (\varphi_u)_{u \in [0, T]} \in \mathcal{M}_{loc}^2(\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^d, E))$,
 $\gamma = (\gamma_u) \in \mathcal{M}_{loc}^1(E)$

When $E = \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^k)$, we define the STRATONOVICH INTEGRAL

$$\int_0^t X_u \circ dB_u := \int_0^t X_u dB_u + \frac{1}{2} \langle X, B \rangle_t$$

\downarrow
STRATONOVICH

$$\text{where } \langle X, B \rangle_t := \int_0^t \text{Tr}[\varphi_u] du$$

In particular

$$\int_0^t B_u^i \circ dB_u^j = \int_0^t B_u^i dB_u^j + \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_t}_{\delta_{ij} \cdot t}$$

We now consider the STRATONOVICH SDE

$$dY_t = b(Y_t) dt + \sigma(Y_t) \circ dB_t \quad (\text{Strat-SDE})$$

$$\Leftrightarrow Y_t = Y_0 + \int_0^t b(Y_u) du + \int_0^t \sigma(Y_u) \circ dB_u$$

Last time we showed:

$$\sigma(Y_t) = \sigma(Y_0) + \int_0^t \sigma_2(Y_u) dB_u + \int_0^t \overbrace{\frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 \sigma(Y_u) \cdot \sigma(Y_u) \sigma(Y_u)}^{p(Y_u)} du$$

$$\Leftrightarrow d\sigma(Y_t) = \sigma_2(Y_t) dB_t + p(Y_t) dt$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle \sigma(Y), B \rangle_t = \int_0^t \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{R}^d} [\sigma_2(Y_u)] du$$

We thus rewrite (Strat-SDE) as a usual Itô (SDE) with a modified drift:

$$Y_t = Y_0 + \underbrace{\int_0^t \left\{ b(Y_u) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{R}^d} [\sigma_2(Y_u)] \right\} du}_{\hat{b}(Y_u)} + \int_0^t \sigma(Y_u) dB_u \quad (*)$$

By what we showed previously, it follows that if $\sigma(\cdot)$ and $b(\cdot)$ are regular enough, $Y = (Y_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ solves the SDE $(*)$ iff it solves the following RDE

$$\begin{aligned} \delta Y_{st} = & \left(b(Y_s) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{R}^d} [\sigma_2(Y_s)] \right) (t-s) \\ & + \sigma(Y_s) B_{st}^1 + \sigma_2(Y_s) B_{st}^2 + o(t-s) \end{aligned} \quad (\S)$$

Let us now define the STRATONOVICH ROUGH PATH \overline{B} above Brownian motion B :

$$\bar{B}_{st}^1 = \delta B_{st} = B_t - B_s = B_{st}^1$$

$$\bar{B}_{st}^2 = \int_s^t (B_u - B_s) \otimes \circ dB_u = \underbrace{\int_s^t (B_u - B_s) \otimes dB_u}_{B_{st}^2} + \frac{1}{2} I_{\mathbb{R}^d}(t-s)$$

In components:

$$(\bar{B}_{st}^2)^{ij} = \int_s^t (\dot{B}_u^i - \dot{B}_s^i) d\dot{B}_u^j + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij}(t-s) = \begin{cases} \frac{(\dot{B}_t^i - \dot{B}_s^i)^2}{2} & (i=j) \\ \int_s^t \dot{B}_{su}^i d\dot{B}_{su}^j & (i \neq j) \end{cases}$$

Then \textcircled{f} can be written, replacing B^2 by \bar{B}^2 , as

$$\delta Y_{st} = b(Y_s)(t-s) + \sigma(Y_s) \bar{B}_{st}^1 + \sigma_2(Y_s) \bar{B}_{st}^2 + o(t-s)$$

which is exactly the usual (RDE) where we replaced the Itô rough path $B = (B^1, B^2)$ by the Stratonovich rough path $\bar{B} = (\bar{B}^1, \bar{B}^2)$.

WONG - ZAKAI

Consider the usual SDE (without a drift)

$$Y_t = Y_0 + \int_0^t \sigma(Y_u) dB_u \quad (\text{Itô})$$

$$Y_t = Y_0 + \int_0^t \sigma(Y_u) \circ dB_u \quad (\text{Strat.})$$

If we replace $B = (B_u)_{u \in [0, T]}$ by a smooth approximation $B^\varepsilon = (B_u^\varepsilon)_{u \in [0, T]}$, we can consider a usual ODE:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{Y}_t^\varepsilon &= \sigma(Y_t^\varepsilon) \dot{B}_t^\varepsilon \\ \Leftrightarrow Y_t^\varepsilon &= Y_0^\varepsilon + \int_0^t \sigma(Y_u^\varepsilon) \dot{B}_u^\varepsilon du \end{aligned} \quad (\varepsilon\text{-ODE})$$

Consider a smooth probability density $\rho: [-1, +1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$

Define

$$\rho^\varepsilon(t) := \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \rho\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) \quad \rho^\varepsilon: [-\varepsilon, +\varepsilon] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho^\varepsilon(t) dt = 1$$

Define $B_t^\varepsilon := (B * \rho^\varepsilon)_t = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \rho^\varepsilon(t-s) B_s ds$

(Define $(B_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ as a two-sided BM, i.e. $(B_{-t})_{t \geq 0}$ is a BM indep. of $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$.)

It is not difficult to show that, $\forall \alpha \in (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2})$,

as: $B^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} B$ in \mathcal{C}^α

i.e. $\|B^\varepsilon - B\|_\infty + \|\delta B^\varepsilon - \delta B\|_\alpha \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} 0$ (on $[0, T]$).

Natural question: does the (well-defined!) solution Y^ε of $(\varepsilon\text{-ODE})$ converge as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ to some limit Y ?

THEOREM (WENG-ZAKAI) Assume that $\sigma \in C^3$ with $\|\nabla \sigma\|_\infty + \|\nabla^2 \sigma\|_\infty + \|\nabla^3 \sigma\|_\infty + \|\nabla \sigma_2\|_\infty + \|\nabla^2 \sigma_2\|_\infty < \infty$.
Then, $\forall \alpha \in (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2})$, we have

$$\underbrace{d_{\varphi\alpha}(Y^\varepsilon, Y)}_{\|Y^\varepsilon - Y\|_\infty + \|\delta Y^\varepsilon - \delta Y\|_\alpha} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} 0 \quad \text{in probability}$$

$$\|Y^\varepsilon - Y\|_\infty + \|\delta Y^\varepsilon - \delta Y\|_\alpha$$

where Y is the solution of (Strat-SDE) -

Proof. Define the CANONICAL ROUGH PATH B^ε associated to the path B^ε :

$$(B^\varepsilon)_st^1 = B_t^\varepsilon - B_s^\varepsilon \quad (B^\varepsilon)_st^2 = \int_s^t (B_u^\varepsilon - B_s^\varepsilon) \otimes \dot{B}_u^\varepsilon du$$

We showed that the solution Y^ε of (ε -ODE) satisfies the following RDE:

$$\delta Y_{st}^\varepsilon = \sigma(Y_s^\varepsilon) (B^\varepsilon)_{st}^1 + \sigma_2(Y_s^\varepsilon) (B^\varepsilon)_{st}^2 + o(t-s)$$

We proved that the general RDE

$$\delta Z_{st} = \sigma(Z_s) X_{st}^1 + \sigma_2(Z_s) X_{st}^2 + o(t-s)$$

admits existence & uniqueness of solutions and also

CONTINUOUS DEPENDENCE: $Z = \Phi(Z_0, X)$ is a continuous function of $Z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $X = (X^1, X^2)$ -

If we show that $B^\varepsilon \rightarrow \bar{B}$ (Stratonovich rough path)
 then $Y^\varepsilon \rightarrow Y$ solution of Strat-RDE \Leftrightarrow Strat-SDE.

Why $B^\varepsilon \rightarrow \bar{B}$ Stratonovich, and not Ito?

$$(B^\varepsilon)_{st}^2 = B_t^\varepsilon - B_s^\varepsilon \rightarrow B_t - B_s = \bar{B}_{st}^1$$

$$((B^\varepsilon)_{st}^2)^{ii} = \int_s^t (B_u^\varepsilon - B_s^\varepsilon)^i \cdot (\dot{B}_u^\varepsilon)^i du = \frac{[(B_t^\varepsilon)^i - (B_s^\varepsilon)^i]^2}{2}$$

$$\int_s^t (f(u) - f(s)) \dot{f}(u) du \quad \downarrow \varepsilon \downarrow 0 \quad \frac{[B_t^\varepsilon - B_s^\varepsilon]^2}{2} = (\bar{B}_{st}^2)^{ii}$$

with $f(t) = (B_t^\varepsilon)^i$

STRATONOVICH!

\neq ITO

For $i \neq j$ one can show that

$$((B^\varepsilon)_{st}^2)^{ij} = \int_s^t (B_u^\varepsilon - B_s^\varepsilon)^i (\dot{B}_u^\varepsilon)^j du \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_s^t (B_u - B_s)^i dB_u^j = (\bar{B}_{st}^2)^{ij} = (B_{st}^2)^{ij}$$

STRATONOVICH = ITO.